# The efficacy of metformin for the treatment of psoriasis: a meta-analysis study

Zhuo Huang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Jianhua Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Hongbo Chen<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Dan Yu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Shuwen Sun<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Paediatrics, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China <sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Birth Defects and Related Diseases of Women and Children, Ministry of Education, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Genetics, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Adv Dermatol Allergol 2023; XL (5): 606–610 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/ada.2023.130524

### Abstract

**Introduction:** Metformin has potential in treating patients with psoriasis, and this meta-analysis aims to explore the impact of metformin supplementation on treatment efficacy for psoriasis.

**Material and methods:** The PubMed, EMbase, Web of science, EBSCO, and Cochrane library databases were systematically searched, and we included randomized controlled trials (RCTs) assessing the effect of metformin on treatment efficacy for patients with psoriasis.

**Results:** Three RCTs were included in the meta-analysis. Overall, compared with control intervention for psoriasis, metformin intervention resulted in significantly increased psoriasis area severity index (PASI) 75% reduction (odds ratio (OR) = 22.02; 95% confidence interval (CI): 2.12 to 228.49; p = 0.01), and erythema, scaling and induration (ESI) 75% reduction (OR = 9.12; 95% CI: 2.13 to 39.02; p = 0.003), and was associated with substantially decreased fasting plasma glucose (FPG, standard mean difference (SMD) = -0.59; 95% CI: -0.92 to -0.26; p = 0.0005), triglycerides (SMD = -0.92; 95% CI: -1.38 to -0.47; p < 0.0001), total cholesterol (SMD = -0.77; 95% CI: -1.22 to -0.32; p = 0.0008), and low-density lipoprotein (LDL, SMD = -0.67; 95% CI: -1.12 to -0.23; p = 0.003).

**Conclusions:** Metformin supplementation effectively improves treatment efficacy and metabolic syndrome in psoriasis patients.

Key words: psoriasis, metformin supplementation, treatment efficacy, meta-analysis.

### Introduction

Psoriasis commonly increases the risk of systemic disorders including obesity, metabolic syndrome, diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular morbidities [1–6]. In patients with psoriasis, many inflammatory factors are overproduced, including tumour necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ), interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-8, IL-12, IL-17, IL-19, and IL-23, which lead to the occurrence of metabolic syndrome [7–9]. In particular, metabolic syndrome is characterised by central obesity, high triglyceride level, low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), hypertension, and glucose intolerance, and it serves as a strong predictor of coronary heart disease, diabetes, and stroke [5, 10–13]. Thus far, metabolic syndrome has not been well controlled in patients with psoriasis.

Metformin is widely used for the treatment of type 2 diabetes, and it has additional beneficial effects on

weight reduction, decreased hyperinsulinaemia, improved lipid profiles, augmented fibrinolysis, and enhanced endothelial function [14–17]. In patients with psoriasis, metformin was reported to prevent the progression of metabolic syndrome, inhibit weight loss, and improve treatment efficacy [18].

Several RCTs showed that metformin may have the capability to improve the treatment efficacy for psoriasis, but the results were not well established [18–20]. We therefore conducted this meta-analysis of RCTs to evaluate the effectiveness of metformin on treatment efficacy for psoriasis patients.

### Material and methods

### Study selection and data collection

This meta-analysis did not require ethical approval or patient consent, and it was conducted according to

Address for correspondence: Shuwen Sun, West China Second University Hospital, 601002, Chengdu, China, phone: 057-68658855, fax: 057-68658855, e-mail: ssw7162021@163.com

Received: 11.03.2023, accepted: 28.03.2023.

the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis statement and Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [21, 22]. We searched PubMed, EMbase, Web of science, EBSCO and the Cochrane library up to July 2022, using the search terms "metformin" AND "psoriasis". The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) study design was RCT; (2) patients were diagnosed with psoriasis; and (3) intervention treatments were metformin versus placebo.

### Quality assessment

The Jadad Scale was used to evaluate the methodological quality of individual RCTs [23]. This scale consisted of 3 evaluation elements: randomization (0–2 points), blinding (0–2 points), and dropouts and withdrawals (0–1 points). The score of the Jadad Scale varied from 0 to 5 points. Jadad score  $\leq$  2 suggested low quality, while Jadad score  $\geq$ 3 indicated high quality [24].

### Outcome measures

The following information was extracted: first author, publication year, sample size, age, gender, psoriasis area severity index (PASI), and methods of 2 groups. The primary outcomes were PASI 75% reduction and erythema, scaling, and induration (ESI) 75% reduction. Secondary outcomes included fasting plasma glucose (FPG), triglycerides, total cholesterol, and low-density lipoprotein (LDL).

### Statistical analysis

A team consisting of 3 authors performed the statistical analyses. Odds ratio (OR) with 95% CI was applied to evaluate dichotomous outcomes, and standard mean difference (SMD) with 95% CI was used to assess continuous outcomes. *P* statistic was applied to assess the heterogeneity, and significant heterogeneity was observed if  $P^2 > 50\%$  [25]. The random effect model was used regardless of the heterogeneity. We conducted the sensitivity analysis by omitting one study in turn or using subgroup analysis.  $P \le 0.05$  indicated statistical significance, and Review Manager Version 5.3 was used in all statistical analyses.

### Results

# Literature search, study characteristics, and quality assessment

The flow chart for the selection process and detailed identification was presented in Figure 1. In total 478 publications were identified through the initial search of databases. Ultimately, 3 RCTs were included in the meta-analysis [18–20].

The baseline characteristics of the 3 eligible RCTs in the meta-analysis are summarized in Table 1. The 3 studies were published between 2016 and 2022, and the total sample size was 148. There were similar baseline characteristics between the metformin group and the control group. The doses of metformin supplement were 500 mg or 1000 mg once daily.

Among the 3 RCTs, 3 studies reported PASI 75% reduction [18–20], 2 studies reported ESI 75% reduction [18, 20], 3 studies reported FPG [18–20], while 2 studies reported triglycerides, total cholesterol, and LDL [18, 20]. Jadad scores of the 3 included studies varied from 3 to 4, and all studies were considered to have high quality according to quality assessment.

# Primary outcomes: PASI 75% reduction and ESI 75% reduction

Compared to the control group for psoriasis, metformin intervention was associated with significantly increased PASI 75% reduction (OR = 22.02; 95% CI: 2.12 to 228.49; p = 0.01) with significant heterogeneity among the studies ( $l^2 = 68\%$ , heterogeneity p = 0.05, Figure 2) and ESI 75% reduction (OR = 9.12; 95% CI: 2.13 to 39.02; p = 0.003) with low heterogeneity among the studies ( $l^2 = 43\%$ , heterogeneity p = 0.18, Figure 3).

### Sensitivity analysis

Significant heterogeneity was observed for PASI 75% reduction. As shown in Figure 3, the study published by Singh in 2017 showed a result that was almost out of range of the others and probably contributed to the het-



Figure 1. Flow diagram of study searching and selection process

Author			Metforn	nin group		Control group					
	Number	Age [years]	Male (n)	PSAI	Methods	Number	Age [years]	Male (n)	PSAI	Methods	scores
Tam 2022	35	51.4 ± 13.2	_	21.8 ± 8.3	Metformin 500 mg/ day for 12 weeks	31	50.5 ±8.7	-	22.3 ± 18.3	Placebo	4
Singh 2017	20	50.7 ±10.4	12	16.9 ±7.9	Metformin 1000 mg once daily for 12 weeks	18	45.8 ±12.7	13	16.9 ±5.5	Placebo	3
Singh 2016	21	45.1 ±13.0	12	_	Metformin 1000 mg once daily for 12 weeks	23	46.9 ±10.4	14	-	Placebo	3

# Table 1. Characteristics of included studies

PASI – Psoriasis area severity index.

Study or Metformin group subgroup Events Total		Control group Events Total		Weight (%)	Odds ratio IV, random, 95% CI	Odds ratio IV, random, 95% Cl				
Singh 2016	18	21	1	23	33.1	132.00 [12.62, 1380.41]				<b>→</b>
Singh 2017	18	20	13	18	38.5	3.46 [0.58, 20.70]				
Tam 2022	12	35	0	31	28.4	33.51 [1.89, 595.03]				
Total (95% CI)		76		72	100.0	22.02 [2.12, 220.49]				
Total events	48		14							
Heterogeneity: τ	$^{2} = 2.87; \chi^{2}$	= 6.17, df =	= 2 (p = 0.0)	); /² = 68	3%		- <del> </del>	<u> </u>	t	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.59 \ (p = 0.010)$								0.1 1 xperimental]	10 Favours [coi	1000 ntrol]

Figure 2. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of PASI 75% reduction

Study or subgroup	Metformin group Events Total		Control group Events Total		Weight (%)	Odds ratio IV, random, 95% CI	Odds ratio IV, random, 95% Cl			
Singh 2016	14	21	2	23	44.1	21.00 [3.80, 116.20]				
Singh 2017	15	20	7	18	55.9	4.71 [1.18, 18.86]		<b>_</b>		
Total (95% CI)		41		41	100.0	9.12 [2.13, 39.02]				
Total events	29		9							
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2$	$^{2} = 0.48; \chi^{2}$	= 1.77, d <i>f</i> =	1 (p = 0.18		. 10 F	100				
Test for overall e	ffect: <i>Z</i> = 2.	.98 (p = 0.0	003)	Favours [experimental]	Favours [control]					

Figure 3. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of ESI 75% reduction

Study or Metformin group subgroup Mean SD Total		Control gro Mean SD T		group Total	Weight (%)	Std. mean difference IV, random, 95% CI	e Std. mean difference IV, random, 95% Cl					
Singh 2016	-15.2	19.2	21	-2.2	10	23	28.6	-0.85 [-1.47, -0.23]				
Singh 2017	-20.7	23.9	20	-3	22	18	25.1	-0.75 [-1.41, -0.09]				
Tam 2022	-1.5	2.2	35	-0.9	1	31	46.3	-0.34 [-0.83, 0.15]			-	
Total (95% CI)			76			72	100.0	-0.59 [-0.92, -0.26]				
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ ; $\chi^2 = 1.90$ , $df = 2$ ( $p = 0.39$ ); $l^2 = 0\%$									+			+
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.48$ ( $p = 0.0005$ )									-2	-1 0	1	2
		*		,					Favo	urs [experimental]	Favours [control]	l

Figure 4. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of FPG

Study or subgroup	Metformin gro Mean SD Tot	up Control group al Mean SD Total	Weight (%)	Std. mean difference IV, random, 95% CI	Std. mean IV, randor	difference m, 95% Cl	
Singh 2016	-44.3 45.4 21	-1.1 43.3 23	53.3	-0.96 [-1.58, -0.33]			
Singh 2017	-40.6 47.5 20	-5.3 26.3 18	46.7	-0.89 [-1.56, -0.22]			
Total (95% CI)	41	41	100.0	-0.92 [-1.30, -0.47]	•		
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2$	= 0.00; χ <sup>2</sup> = 0.02, d	$r = 1 (p = 0.88); l^2 = 0$	6		-4 -2 (	2	-4
Test for overall ef	ffect: Z = 3.95 (p < 0	0001)			Favours [experimental]	Favours [control]	
Figure 5. Fore	est plot for the r	neta-analysis of t	riglyceride	2S			



Figure 7. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of LDL

erogeneity [20]. After excluding this study, the results suggested that, compared with control intervention for psoriasis, metformin intervention was still associated with substantially increased PASI 75% reduction (OR = 76.31; 95% Cl: 12.38 to 470.39; p < 0.00001), and no heterogeneity remained ( $l^2 = 0$ , p = 0.47).

### Secondary outcomes

Compared with control intervention for psoriasis, metformin intervention resulted in substantially reduced FPG (SMD = -0.59; 95% CI: -0.92 to -0.26; p = 0.0005; Figure 4), triglycerides (SMD = -0.92; 95% CI: -1.38 to -0.47; p < 0.0001; Figure 5), total cholesterol (SMD = -0.77; 95% CI: -1.22 to -0.32; p = 0.00008; Figure 6), and LDL (SMD = -0.67; 95% CI: -1.12 to -0.23; p = 0.003; Figure 7).

### Discussion

In addition to its hypoglycaemic effect, metformin can serve as an anti-inflammatory agent by activating adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) in extracellular signal-related kinase 1/2 signalling pathway, which subsequently inhibits dendritic cell and T-cell activation as well as cell proliferation [20]. These may increase the treatment efficacy of metformin supplementation for psoriasis patients [26, 27]. Our meta-analysis included 3 RCTs and 148 patients with psoriasis. The results confirmed that metformin intervention was able to improve the treatment efficacy as shown by the increased PASI 75% reduction and ESI 75% reduction.

Metformin showed a significantly improved percentage of metabolic syndrome parameters as compared to the placebo group for psoriasis patients [20]. Our metaanalysis confirmed that metformin intervention had beneficial effects on FPG, triglycerides, total cholesterol, and LDL for psoriasis patients. Metformin can act through the activation of AMPK, which not only inhibits the activation of inducible nitric oxide synthase, dendritic T cell, and monocyte/macrophage, but also activates IL-10 and TGF- $\beta$ , thereby exerting the anti-inflammatory function [26]. One study reported that metformin had the capability to reduce mean IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  levels, which may be comprised by the use of methotrexate in all patients [20].

Regarding the sensitivity analysis, there was still significant heterogeneity, which may be caused by different factors. Firstly, the doses of metformin supplement were 500 mg or 1000 mg once daily, which may affect the efficacy assessment. Secondly, psoriasis was commonly associated with the incidence of metabolic syndrome, but the severity of metabolic syndrome was different. Thirdly, the various combination methods of metformin may produce some bias.

We should also consider several limitations. Firstly, our analysis was based on only 3 RCTs and more studies with large patient samples should be conducted to confirm this finding. Secondly, the methods and doses of metformin were different in the included studies and may mainly account for some heterogeneity. Thirdly, psoriasis patients with various levels of metabolic syndrome may produce some bias for efficacy assessment.

### Conclusions

Metformin supplementation significantly improved the efficacy and metabolic syndrome for psoriasis patients.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

- Hao Y, Zhu YJ, Zou S, et al. Metabolic Syndrome and psoriasis: mechanisms and future directions. Front Immunol 2021; 12: 711060.
- 2. Gisondi P, Fostini AC, Fossà I, et al. Psoriasis and the metabolic syndrome. Clin Dermatol 2018; 36: 21-8.
- 3. Yamanaka K, Yamamoto O, Honda T. Pathophysiology of psoriasis: a review. J Dermatol 2021; 48: 722-31.
- 4. Gelfand JM, Yeung H. Metabolic syndrome in patients with psoriatic disease. J Rheumatol Suppl 2012; 89: 24-8.
- Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA 2001; 285: 2486-97.
- Armstrong EJ, Harskamp CT, Armstrong AW. Psoriasis and major adverse cardiovascular events: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. J Am Heart Assoc 2013; 2: e000062.
- Grundy SM, Brewer HB Jr, Cleeman JI, et al. Definition of metabolic syndrome: report of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/American Heart Association conference on scientific issues related to definition, Circulation 2004; 109: 433-8.
- Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. Circulation 2005; 112: 2735-52.
- Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. Metabolic syndrome: a new world-wide definition. A Consensus Statement from the International Diabetes Federation. Diabetic Medicine 2006; 23: 469-80.
- 10. Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Parise H, et al. Metabolic syndrome as a precursor of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Circulation 2005; 112: 3066-72.
- 11. Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome. Lancet 2005; 365: 1415-28.
- Peralta C, Hamid P, Batool H, e tal. Psoriasis and metabolic syndrome: comorbidities and environmental and therapeutic implications. Cureus 2019; 11: e6369.
- Ikumi K, Odanaka M, Shime H, et al. Hyperglycemia is associated with psoriatic inflammation in both humans and mice. J Investig Dermatol 2019; 139: 1329-38.e7.
- 14. Sanchez-Rangel E, Inzucchi SE. Metformin: clinical use in type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2017; 60: 1586-93.
- Cohn G, Valdes G, Capuzzi DM. Pathophysiology and treatment of the dyslipidemia of insulin resistance. Curr Cardiol Rep 2001; 3: 416-23.
- Stadtmauer LA, Wong BC, Oehninger S. Should patients with polycystic ovary syndrome be treated with metformin? Benefits of insulin sensitizing drugs in polycystic ovary syndrome--beyond ovulation induction. Human Reprod 2002; 17: 3016-26.
- 17. Mueller NT, Differding MK, Zhang M, et al. Metformin affects gut microbiome composition and function and circulating short-chain fatty acids: a randomized trial. Diabetes Care 2021; 44: 1462-71.
- Singh S, Bhansali A. Randomized placebo control study of insulin sensitizers (Metformin and Pioglitazone) in psoriasis patients with metabolic syndrome (Topical Treatment Cohort). BMC Dermatol 2016; 16: 12.
- 19. Tam HTX, Thuy LND, Vinh NM, et al. The combined use of metformin and methotrexate in psoriasis patients with metabolic syndrome. Dermatol Res Practice 2022; 2022: 9838867.

- 20.Singh S, Bhansali A. Randomized placebo control study of metformin in psoriasis patients with metabolic syndrome (systemic treatment cohort). Indian J Endocrinol Metabol 2017; 21: 581-7.
- 21. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; P. Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ 2009; 339: b2535.
- 22. Higgins JPT, Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1. 0 [updated March 2011], The cochrane collaboration (2011).
- 23. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? Control Clin Trials 1996; 17: 1-12.
- 24. Kjaergard LL, Villumsen J, Gluud C. Reported methodologic quality and discrepancies between large and small randomized trials in meta-analyses. Ann Intern Med 2001; 135: 982-9.
- 25. Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Statistics Medicine 2002; 21: 1539-58.
- 26.Glossmann H, Reider N. A marriage of two "Methusalem" drugs for the treatment of psoriasis? Arguments for a pilot trial with metformin as add-on for methotrexate. Dermatoendocrinology 2013; 5: 252-63.
- 27. Li W, Ma W, Zhong H, et al. Metformin inhibits proliferation of human keratinocytes through a mechanism associated with activation of the MAPK signaling pathway. Exp Ther Med 2014; 7: 389-92.